1 2

the right thing and that I would take these cases far more seriously than he did. There's no question. I don't deny that.

MR. NACHWALTER: Let me -- now that you're a sitting judge -- and I hope you don't have another campaign, because I have friends who are judges who do run, and I know it's a pain in the neck.

JUDGE KINSEY: No.

MR. NACHWALTER: But let's assume you did and somebody ran against you who is not a judge and they wanted to talk about pending cases, be it in front of you or somebody else. What would you do? Would you get into it with them if they wanted to talk to you about pending cases? Or would you say, "I don't think it's proper to talk about pending cases"?

JUDGE KINSEY: I want to be careful how I answer you, because I know this is very important, and I want to make sure that I answer it fully and I answer it honestly from my heart.

I don't think that there are any pending misdemeanor cases that are going to be of discussion. I really just don't see it. The cases that people want to talk about, the cases that generate discussion, are the felony cases that we

-

see on a bond hearing. Those cases will never come before us. It's not going to happen. We have circuit judges that handle this. It's strictly a bond decision.

W/89

My understanding from reading the canon is that if a candidate were to challenge my decision on one of these cases that I could respond.

Now, what I know now from being a sitting judge, from going through the judges' college and having the benefit of basically the conference that we went to in January, four weeks of listening to my new colleagues and learning more about the new rules and canons, I would call the advisory committee or send off a telegram and say, "Please help me and tell me what to do." Because I don't want to screw up.

It's been a tremendous learning process, and I know I've made mistakes, and I don't want to make mistakes. What scares me -- and you bring up the idea of a campaign -- is that people might take my brochures and say, "You promised to be tough," and say "Where is it?"

Because on these misdemeanor cases, what I'm doing primarily is giving people a huge chance up front. If they take responsibility at first

appearance or at arraignments, you know, on misdemeanors -- we're talking about misdemeanors 2 here; we're not talking about rapes and armed 3 robberies, the things I've been dealing with for the last, you know, three or four years -- primarily I'm 5 giving them fines and telling them if they don't get it done by a date they tell me they can get it done 7 by -- you know, for example, I would say, "You know, 8 9 Mr. Nachwalter, I'm going to withhold adjudication because you don't have a prior record, but I'm 10 going to impose court costs of \$136. How long will 11 it take to you pay those?" 12 13 And you would tell me, "Oh, I need at least four weeks." 14 "Well, what if I give you two months? 15 Can 16 you get it done by then?" 17 And you would say, "Oh, yeah, absolutely." "Are you sure?" 18 19 "Oh, yeah." 20 I say, "Okay. Because I'm going to put 30 21 days at the end of that. If you don't have it done by then, you're going to do 30 days. You have to 22 23 take responsibility for your actions. Do you 24 understand that?"

So the criticism that I'm afraid I may

face four years from now --

MR. NACHWALTER: Someone will run against you and say "She's soft on crime"?

JUDGE KINSEY: "She's soft on crime."

But that's what I feel is appropriate if someone doesn't have a prior record. It's just that when they do have a prior record, I feel like they should be afforded the accountability that they deserve having a serious prior record, for doing the same -- I was watching third-offender DUIs get ten days house arrest. You know, it broke my heart. It was wrong. That's not happening with me.

But, yeah, I could face criticism. And I would get help.

MR. NACHWALTER: Well, that's what I was trying to say at the beginning, is your abilities as a judge or what you've done as a judge or what Judge Green did as a judge is not the subject of this. The only thing I'm focused on, at least -- and I think the commission is -- is whether or not some things were said and it's in the campaign literature were not proper comments. That's the total focus, I think, of this inquiry.

JUDGE KINSEY: Okay.

THE CHAIR: I have some questions, but I'd rather defer to Leonard, who we skipped over, and then to Mr. Barkin and Mr. Scriven.

DR. HABER: Judge, would you say, considering all the preparation, the conferences you've had with your husband, thinking about this, going over it in retrospect, that perhaps some of your literature could be characterized as a little intemperate, in retrospect?

JUDGE KINSEY: And, you know, we've only had two conferences, which is part of the reason why I came into this very nervous, because I didn't know what he was going to say exactly. In fact, last night, he was typing up -- he's a typical lawyer; he waits until the last minute to do something. And I guess being the wife didn't make it any easier. He certainly didn't start it any earlier.

There are two issues here. One, the public has a right to know what's going on, and they have a right to make a decision; if Florida is going to allow elected judges, then the people have a right to be educated about things. Are there things that I would have done differently in retrospect? Certainly. Certainly.

DR. HABER: Would you -- in retrospect,

looking back, can you in your mind understand they 1 could easily being characterized as intemperate or a 2 bit excessive? 3 4 JUDGE KINSEY: And my husband will 5 probably kill me for saying this --6 DR. HABER: Probably will. JUDGE KINSEY: -- yeah, I do. And you get 7 8 caught up in the campaign. DR. HABER: In the heat of it, get 9 10 passionate. 11 JUDGE KINSEY: And there are things I wish that had been worded differently. There are things 12 I wish I had caught that I didn't. 13 14 DR. HABER: Can I specifically bring to your attention two words that caught my eye? 15 JUDGE KINSEY: Uh-huh. 16 17 DR. HABER: Please bear in mind I'm not a judge, I'm not a lawyer, although I've had 30 years 18 of experience with lawyers, judges, courts, and the 19 20 process, or more. 21 The two words that caught my eye in the 22 campaign literature regarding Mr. Heller are the words "thug" and "punk." And as I read them, I 23 thought to myself if I were a judge or if I were 24

watching another judge in court and somebody came up

25

to characterize that -- I don't care if it's a 1 2 prosecutor or a defense lawyer -- and said "That punk, that thug" -- now, this is prior to 3 conviction, not being convicted of being a thug or a punk -- I believe that if an objection was raised, 5 it would probably be sustained by a temperate judge 6 who would say, "Hey, this is called character 7 assassination. This is called depiction of people 8 by putting labels on them. You can't do that. 9 Ι 10 mean, just state -- just state the facts." 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that this possibly exemplifies possibly the sense of urgency you had about replacing this judge that you felt wasn't doing the right thing. And that exemplifies what Mike Nachwalter is talking about about crossing the line and getting a little bit over-enthusiastic to where it might be okay in some other arena, but this probably wouldn't be permitted in a courtroom, and you want to be a judge. So that's why I characterize it as perhaps excessive and a bit intemperate.

And I'd like you to reflect back on those two words, "thug" and "punk," and would you use them again in that way if you ran another campaign?

JUDGE KINSEY: No, I probably wouldn't.

There are other ways that could be said. You know, 1 2 again, I hate to keep falling back on this, but when you hire professionals to help you -- and you 3 probably won't believe this, but that is a much more temperate brochure than what originally came 5 That's probably about the fifth draft, at 6 through. least the fifth draft, of that particular brochure. 7 8 DR. HABER: I understand that. I've been through campaigns myself. I've run for office. 9 10 JUDGE KINSEY: Then you understand. 11 DR. HABER: I know what it is. ultimate responsibility rests with the candidate. 12 JUDGE KINSEY: Is mine, yeah. 13 14 DR. HABER: And in the instance of 15 judicial candidates, that responsibility is far more onerous than for anybody else. And that's all that 16 I think Mr. Nachwalter and everybody else is saying. 17 18 JUDGE KINSEY: Yeah. 19 DR. HABER: Well, I have no other 20 questions. Thank you. 21 THE CHAIR: Ms. Heffner? Can I jump over Mr. Barkin and Mr. Scriven just for 22 Ms. Heffner's one question, and then we'll move --23 24 MS. HEFFNER: Actually, mine's been 25 answered.